

SCRAP Meeting Agenda

7/29/2020

1. SCRAP Folder (Rachel Fischer)
https://ccsliborg.sharepoint.com/:f:/s/CCSTraining/Et-6a_DasNtCkOa9aUvmSiMBpq1czlrk7fmVo-h5H4YW5Q?e=Q8Dp95
2. Review Wiki pages for changes that need to be made (Rachel Fischer)
 - a. [http://www.ccslib.org/Catalogers/index.php/Wonderbooks and VOX Books](http://www.ccslib.org/Catalogers/index.php/Wonderbooks_and_VOX_Books)
 - i. Suggestions for standardizing 006, 008 and 264 fields.
 - b. [http://www.ccslib.org/Catalogers/index.php/Library of Things](http://www.ccslib.org/Catalogers/index.php/Library_of_Things)
3. December UX meeting recommended removing magazine website links from the PAC and would like SCRAP to discuss the topic. (Jamie King)

From the December UX Minutes:

“UX Recommendation #6: Require removal of the 856 field from brief display for print magazines.

Findings to support recommendation: No participants utilized the 856 field when searching for a print magazine. It does not enhance user experience with the catalog.

Summary from the UX minutes:

Recommendation: Require removal of the 856 field from brief display for serial records. K. Weiss (CCS) explained that the 856 field contains a link out to the publisher’s website for print magazines. For example, in the brief display for the magazine, Cosmopolitan this link takes patrons to the magazine’s website. The link was not used during usability testing and takes patrons out of the PAC. The recommendation passed with 6 in favor and 2 against. SCRAP will review the recommendation to see if there are any cataloging concerns.”

4. Changes to the new RDA Toolkit that will affect the Catalog Wiki (Rachel Fischer)
 - a. Rule numbers are being replaced with random, permanent numbers:
<https://www.rdatoolkit.org/node/190>
 - b. 3 Wiki pages still have AACR2 rules cited.
5. LCGFT has established “Novels of manners” in place of “Regency fiction.” Do we still want to use Regency fiction as a local heading? If so, do we use one or both headings on records for Regency fiction? (Sandra DeSio)
6. Local practices reminders and getting libraries up to speed (John Lavalie)
7. Proposal to change on order records for Midwest Tape orders (John Lavalie)
8. Should Bibliographic records be retained after they are deleted? They are currently not being retained, but item records are being retained after deletion until the final deletion. (Rachel Fischer)
9. Any additions.