

SCRAP Minutes
January 13, 2021
9:30 AM

Meeting Attendees:

SCRAP Members: Lynn Krambeer (LFK), Tammy Skwierczynski (GRK), Lynne Rubio (DPK), John Lavalie, (DPK), Jamie King (NIK), Sandra DeSio (WGK), Helga Scherer (MGK)

CCS Staff: Rachel Fischer (CCS), Virginia Seward (CCS)

Non-Members: Adriene Galindo (PAK), Violet Jaffe (PAK), Jan May (PHK), Stephanie Girardi (WNK), Kris Harrison (HPK), Linda Conn (CPQ), Lauren Bochat (PRK), Brad Peterson (CPQ), Judy Hayes (NBK), Michelle London (HPK)

1. Items to discuss from Nov. 5th SCRAP meeting before bringing to CAMM:

- Why can't f/novel be used? Can this be changed? – This issue will need to be brought to DBM and PAS if SCRAP recommends a change.

Discussion: R. Fischer explained that the language on the Catalog Wiki is that 1 for Fiction is preferred, but not required. SCRAP discussed the history of this decision. The members of SCRAP are fine with leaving the page as it is in the Wiki.

- The issue of the 028 and the practice of not using the 024 for video game platform numbers. Does this still need to be followed?

Discussion: J. King explained the history behind the use of the 028 for platform numbers. SCRAP discussed the purpose of the 024 and 028 fields, OLAC's guidelines, and how Polaris indexes the fields. The use of the 024 or 028 does not matter since both fields are indexed as a standard number and both fields display in the catalog. The sentence can be removed from the Catalog Wiki. Standard practice can be followed. It was later suggested that the issue of the 024 and 028 fields be discussed at CAMM.

2. Items to discuss based on 11/11 CAMM meeting:

- Is there a benefit to adding an additional tag to vendor catalog records for identifying them?

Discussion: R. Fischer reported the results of a review of Indian Trails and Evanston's account procedures. She explained how vendor cataloged records can be identified. SCRAP is fine with not adding additional tags to identify vendor cataloged records. J. King suggested that CCS review standard account procedures when any additional members begin to use these services. R. Fischer will discuss this with CCS.

- Review the bib standards:
 - Should encoding level be added to records as a requirement?

Discussion: The encoding level is used by the import profile settings that reject equal level records or overlay over lower-level records. SCRAP decided not to make it a requirement to change the encoding level to full level records.

- Should Virginia's criteria for Marcive files be changed so the 945 be removed from the requirements?

Discussion: V. Seward plans to work with Bob to test the criteria. She will report on her research at the next meeting.

- Discuss the results of the PAS and CIRC/ILL advisory group discussions on serials cataloged as monographs.

Discussion: R. Fischer reported on the results of the PAS and CIRC/ILL advisory group meetings. Both groups decided that they did not want any changes to be made. SCRAP will review the statement in the Catalog Wiki regarding this topic.

- Should the list of serials cataloged on monographic records be expanded?

Discussion: SCRAP is not interested in expanding the list at this time.

3. Catalog Wiki pages under review

- Where should the link to the document listing the new RDA Toolkit links be placed on the Catalog Wiki?
<https://ccsliborg.sharepoint.com/:x:/s/CCSTraining/ES5-QTq32ndCITAWCx4fdpIB4zmA-UM6dyChGzc8Qlr4yg?e=5VQ3IB>

Discussion: The link can be added to the Bibliographic Input Standards page and to the Online Cataloging Resources page.

- Discuss the Uniform title page – 240 doesn't link to an authorized heading, John Galdun would like to propose that 700 (\$a name, \$t title, and \$l language) with a \$i note be required instead of 240.

Discussion: R. Fischer explained the proposal to use a 700 \$a, \$t, \$l to link to an authorized heading, instead of the 240 field. CCS discussed the requirement but feels that it will be too much work for most staff. J. Lavalie found a problem with how the name title headings function in the PAC. SCRAP is not interested in changing this requirement.

- Discuss other pages listed at:
http://www.ccslib.org/Catalogers/index.php/Category:Pages_under_review

Discussion:

[Authorized access points for musical works](#) – Using AACR2 is local practice. The whole page is local practice. The reference to AACR2 can be removed.

[Encoding level guidelines](#) – The page was approved.

[Literary form](#) - The page was approved.

[MARC variable field 945](#) – TMQ needs to be removed still. SCRAP plans to discuss if the 945 is still necessary at the next meeting.

[Merging Duplicate Records](#) – The page was approved.

[OCLC Connexion Export Settings](#) - The page was approved.

[OCLC Number in Bibliographic Records](#) – The category for Polaris can be added to this page.

[Online resources](#) – This page can be deleted.

[Retention statements for periodical holdings](#) – This page can be deleted.

[Retrospective projects](#) – A list of cleanup projects is in the [Learning Portal](#). Any useful retrospective projects on this page can be added to the page on the Learning Portal. This page can be deleted.

[Software, computer games, and videogames](#) – The system details list has been updated. The issue of the 024 and 028 fields should be discussed at CAMM.

[Uniform Titles](#) – If the page is kept, the title should change to Preferred Title. There may still be local practices for fairy tales. V. Seward will research the issue before the next meeting.

4. Additional topics

Discussion:

J. Lavalie explained how the PowerPAC indexes name title headings. The headings only link to a results page of records that contain the heading, and not to the titles that the headings refer to. The 240 fields do not appear in an author browse the way it does in Horizon. J. Lavalie proposes that the 700 field is only indexed by the author and not author title. He would like CCS to look into the possibility of changing how the training server is indexed so that he can experiment with the records. V. Seward responded that reindexing does not happen frequently, because it takes three days to reindex. R. Fischer will discuss the possibility of changing the settings of the training server with CCS. She will look into the percentage of searches that are browse searches.